

International Journal of Art, Social, and Managerial Sciences (IJASMS)

2024: Volume (1) Issue (1)

Integrating Technology to Enhance Student Engagement in Mathematics: Literature Overview

Doi: 10.5281/zenodo.13364405

Alaa Ayyad ¹¹ Master Student, Australia

Correspondence to:
Alaa Ayyad.
Email:
ayyad_1987@yahoo.com



Keywords: *Technology Integration, Mathematics Education, Student Engagement, Gamification, Flipped Classroom, TPACK, Virtual Reality*

Abstract

This literature review explores the integration of technology in mathematics education as a response to the declining mathematical performance of Australian students. By analyzing studies published between 2000 and 2023, the review highlights the potential of digital tools, gamification, flipped classrooms, and immersive technologies like augmented and virtual reality to enhance student engagement, understanding, and academic performance. The findings emphasize the crucial role of teachers in effectively combining technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge (TPACK) to maximize these benefits. However, challenges such as limited teacher proficiency in TPACK, technology access issues, and the need for self-regulated learning are identified as barriers to successful technology integration. The review calls for further research to develop strategies that enhance teacher training, address these challenges, and explore the long-term impacts of technology on student outcomes, ultimately aiming to improve mathematics education and foster deeper conceptual understanding among students.

Introduction

The declining mathematical performance of Australian students has raised concerns among educators (Thomson et al., 2016). Integrating technology into classroom instruction enhances students' math abilities by improving their understanding of core math concepts and problem-solving skills, resulting in academic benefits. This literature review aims to analyze research on technology integration in math instruction to inform future pedagogical practices and improve student engagement and learning.

Teachers should employ innovative approaches, such as differentiated instruction, creative methods, and technology integration, to provide equal opportunities

for students, enhance engagement, and facilitate effective learning (Leon et al., 2017). Adulyasas (2018) highlights teachers' crucial role in enhancing students' mathematical understanding through pedagogical strategies, curriculum modification, and professional development. Dotterer and Lowe (2011) support this, showing that teacher behavior significantly impacts student engagement and academic achievement. The framework of Technology, Pedagogy, and Content Knowledge (TPACK) underscores the need for teachers to understand how these domains interact effectively (Adulyasas, 2018). Rakes et al. (2022) found increased utilization of effective mathematics teaching practices, but limited growth in TPACK, highlighting technology's

role in fostering conceptual understanding.

While the integration of technology in mathematics education has shown promise in enhancing student engagement and understanding, it is evident that the effectiveness of such integration is contingent on teachers' ability to navigate and combine technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge. As educators strive to address the decline in mathematical performance, future research should focus on developing strategies to enhance teachers' TPACK, ensuring that technology is used not just as a tool, but as a transformative element in the learning process. Furthermore, exploring the long-term impacts of technology integration on student outcomes and identifying best practices for professional development will be crucial in shaping the future of math education. The goal of this literature review is to contribute to the ongoing discourse on improving mathematics instruction by providing insights that can guide educators in the effective use of technology to foster deeper conceptual understanding and academic success.

Research Method

A comprehensive literature review was conducted to identify and analyze existing research on technology integration in mathematics education, with a focus on studies published between 2000 and 2023 to ensure the inclusion of relevant and up-to-date research. The search was carried out using databases such as ERIC, Google Scholar, JSTOR, and ScienceDirect, employing keywords like "technology integration," "mathematics education," "digital tools," "TPACK," "gamification in math," "flipped classroom," "social media in education," "virtual reality," "augmented reality," and "digital manipulatives." Studies were selected based on their relevance to technology integration in mathematics education, the presence of empirical data (quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-methods), publication in peer-reviewed journals or reputable academic conferences, and a focus on K-12 and higher education. Only studies published in English were included, and those that did not meet these criteria, lacked rigorous methodologies, or provided insufficient data were excluded.

Data extraction centered on the study's objectives, research design, sample size, methodology, key findings, and implications for mathematics education. Each study was reviewed and analyzed to identify patterns, themes, and gaps in the literature on technology integration in mathematics education. Thematic analysis categorized the findings into key areas, including technology integration and student engagement, teacher competence in TPACK, innovative pedagogical approaches, challenges and limitations in technology integration, and future research directions. To ensure the quality and reliability of the findings, the

studies were assessed based on criteria such as study design, sample size and selection, data collection and analysis methods, and the evaluation of biases and validity. The results of this quality assessment informed the strength of evidence when drawing conclusions and making recommendations.

The findings were then synthesized to identify common trends, challenges, and best practices in integrating technology into mathematics education. This synthesis provided a comprehensive understanding of the impact of technology on student engagement, teachers' pedagogical practices, and overall academic performance in mathematics. The results of the literature review were subsequently used to inform the discussion on the implications for future research and the development of strategies to enhance the effective use of technology in mathematics instruction.

Results

Students' Engagement and Integration of Technology

Watt and Goos (2017) highlight the importance of understanding student engagement in mathematics from psychological and social-contextual perspectives. Psychological theories of motivation, such as expectancy-value theory and self-determination theory, analyze individual behaviors, interests, and cognitive acquisition (Watt & Goos, 2017). The social-contextual perspective examines how learning environments and goal structures influence engagement.

Dotterer and Lowe (2011) found that psychological engagement was strongly associated with academic achievement among students with previous academic difficulties, while behavioral engagement showed no significant correlation. Classroom context, including instructional quality and student-teacher relationships, predicts school engagement. However, this study found no evidence that classroom context mediates the relationship between engagement and academic achievement in students with academic difficulties, emphasizing the need for a supportive environment and diverse evaluation methods to comprehensively examine engagement and academic performance.

Similarly, Fredricks and colleagues (2016) emphasized the link between engagement and academic success, indicating that engaged students tend to achieve higher grades and have higher school completion rates. Junco (2012) further expands on the concept of digital engagement in education, highlighting that engagement in education, encompassing both quantitative and qualitative aspects, is crucial for effective educational practices. The quality of engagement directly impacts student learning and development and can be enhanced

by effective teachers, interventions, and supportive school-level factors.

Integrating technology in math education enhances student engagement, collaboration, and understanding through interactive tools, personalized learning, and real-world examples. It improves teaching by seamlessly incorporating technology into strategies, content, and objectives, creating authentic learning opportunities that foster critical thinking and creativity (Bray & Tangney, 2016). Researchers have recognized the potential of digital technologies to revolutionize traditional education systems, as students who incorporate digital tools demonstrate improved engagement and confidence in math (Bray & Tangney, 2016).

Moreover, digital technologies enable more authentic learning experiences in math, promoting inquiry-based and self-directed learning and resulting in higher outcomes (Geer et al., 2017). However, achieving these outcomes necessitates changes in teachers' classroom practices, involving the adoption of transformational pedagogies that leverage digital technologies, such as situated learning, social constructivist learning, and independent learning (Bray & Tangney, 2016; Geer et al., 2017; Noel, 2015). Although teacher acceptance of digital technologies is increasing, their usage often focuses on enhancing existing practices rather than transforming them, highlighting the need for continued pedagogical shifts (Geer et al., 2017; McKnight et al., 2016). Muir (2014) found that students were engaged when using computers but disengaged when computers replaced the teacher, emphasizing the need to balance technology integration with the crucial role of teachers in maintaining student engagement.

Callaghan (2021) highlighted the role of technology platforms in curriculum distribution and access, emphasizing the importance of teacher and student confidence. He pointed out that technology can cater to diverse abilities and align with pedagogical frameworks to enhance learning outcomes and meet individual student needs. However, Heo and coworkers (2021) found that self-efficacy in technology use alone did not enhance learning engagement, but social presence in an online learning environment promoted engagement among learners.

Blundell and coworkers (2020) further added that the successful integration of digital technologies in teaching and learning depends on teachers' frames of reference, habits of mind, and modes of transformative learning. The study highlights the challenges experienced by experienced teachers in diversifying classroom roles, relationships, and actions while effectively incorporating digital technologies. Similarly, challenges such as access, equity, teacher training, infrastructure, digital distractions, and pedagogical alignment were found in Leon and coworkers' study (2017). Addressing

these challenges and providing support are crucial for successful integration.

Technology Strategies and Best Practices for Engaging Students in Mathematics

Technology integration in math education globally, including in Australia and New Zealand, involves diverse evidence-based strategies and techniques that enhance student engagement. This overview discusses the limitations, future trends, and areas for improvement in these approaches, which can be categorized under multiple headings, offering a wide range of options for integrating technology into math education.

Gamification

Gamification is a strategy that involves incorporating game-like elements into non-game situations to influence behavior, enhance motivation, and increase student engagement, ultimately fostering learning and problem-solving (Watson-Huggins & Trotman, 2019). Most researchers use self-determination theory and behaviorism to understand the relationship between gamification, motivation, and engagement.

Research indicates that digital games positively impact students' motivation and performance in mathematics education (Beserra et al., 2014). For instance, Bai and coworkers (2012) found that using a 3D instructional game, Dimension M, increased students' interest, goal clarity, and persistence in learning algebra. Lowrie (2005) observed that playing problem-solving games like Pokemon enhanced motivation and control over learning. Similarly, Ke and Grabowski (2007) demonstrated that cooperative game-playing improved students' attitudes toward mathematics. In terms of academic achievement,

Kolovou and coworkers (2013) found that the online game Hit the Target enhanced students' problem-solving abilities with covarying quantities in early algebra. Likewise, simulation games improve learning outcomes by promoting engagement in a safe environment (Almaki et al., 2023). In Australia, Math Pathway implementation in schools incorporates gamification in mathematics education, leading to personalized learning, improved math skills, and increased student support and feedback (Zhan et al., 2022). These findings highlight the potential of digital games as practical tools for motivating students and improving their mathematics learning outcomes.

However, some researchers found different results. Ke (2008) investigated the impact of a game-based summer mathematics program on students' mathematics test performance and learning attitudes. While the study improved students' attitudes toward mathematics, there was no significant enhancement in their cognitive test performance. Another study using a gamification plugin in an e-learning system showed better grades in practical assignments and overall scores, but negative

correlations were found between written assignments and class participation (Dominguez et al., 2013).

Additionally, Plass and coworkers (2013) found that the mode of play, whether individual, competitive, or collaborative, in a mathematics game had varying effects on learning and performance; competitive play resulted in increased in-game performance, while collaborative play showed a decrease in performance. These findings suggest that the effectiveness of digital games in mathematics learning may vary depending on factors such as program design and play mode.

Research is needed to explore the effects of Digital Game-Based Learning (DGBL) on math achievement and improve gamification practices. Poor use of gamification in education can lead some students to perceive it as unimportant (Dichev & Dicheva, 2017). According to Seaborn and Fels (2015), there is a gap between theory and practice in gamification, where theory lacks empirical examination and applied work lacks theoretical references, limiting the advancement of the field. This emphasizes the importance of conducting research that establishes strong theoretical connections to bridge the gap between theory and practice in gamification.

The current literature does not provide a clear consensus on the impact of DGBL on students' mathematics achievement. There is a need to address the challenges associated with integrating digital games in the classroom and seek support from extracurricular partners. Further research should focus on evaluating the adequacy of game representations, providing teacher training, exploring the effectiveness of games in areas such as geometry, measurement, and data analysis, and meaningfully integrating games into education to offer practical advice and new insights. Studying game genres, especially role-playing games, provides integration insights, while qualitative and longitudinal research deepens understanding of game usage and learning outcomes.

Flipped Classroom Model

The Flipped Classroom Model (FCM) is an instructional approach where students learn through video lectures or online resources outside of class and engage in interactive activities and discussions during class (Jensen et al., 2018). The literature often uses terms like flipped learning, blended learning, and inverted classrooms interchangeably with the flipped classroom concept. Four key elements are required for successful flipped learning: adaptable spaces, a learning culture, purposeful material, and skilled teachers, according to Muir (2021). The FCM shifts the teacher's role from information delivery to active student assistance, as students take responsibility for their learning process and regulate their learning pace (Lai & Hwang, 2016). The flipped classroom model is frequently used in mathematics education (Talbert, 2014), allowing for a

hands-on approach to address students' questions and concerns (Snead et al., 2023). Online video tutorials like those on Khan Academy and Math2 can enhance math education by providing accessible resources for students to improve their understanding of mathematical concepts (Moreno et al., 2020). Snead et al. (2023) introduced a novel approach in the flipped math classroom, where students created videos, incorporating student-led peer learning into the instructional process. This innovative combination has not been explored in the school setting before.

The Flipped Classroom Model has revolutionized math education. The nature of teaching linear algebra, involving fundamental calculations and deeper conceptual development, aligns well with the flipped classroom approach, as highlighted by Talbert (2014). According to Muir (2017), the flipped math classroom can be implemented in three ways: teacher-paced curated, teacher-paced created, and student-based teacher-created approaches, each offering benefits such as self-paced learning, optimized class time, and student-led engagement. Muir's approach to the flipped classroom improved teacher-student and peer interactions, providing advantages like on-demand video lectures and enhanced class readiness. Similarly, using curated materials in math classes and implementing the FCM can lead to improved progress, engagement, questioning, discussions, and learning outcomes for students, according to studies conducted by Missildine et al. (2013).

Moreno et al. (2020) highlighted the significance of utilizing advanced technologies to facilitate Flipped Classroom Mathematics and enhance the pedagogical process of math instruction. Similarly, Luo and coworkers (2019) found that the instructor's design of clearly structured individual and group activities was associated with the highest student achievement and satisfaction levels in the flipped classroom. They noted that this structured approach provided necessary support and guidance for students' learning inquiries. Mapp (2022) emphasized the importance of instructors actively facilitating student-centered learning as well.

However, it is important to note that other studies have also highlighted limitations associated with the flipped classroom model (FCM). Akçayır and Akçayır (2018) found that the FCM improves student performance and interest, but the video quality and time investment need improvement. As noted in Moreno and coworkers' study (2020), the videos studied lacked pedagogical and math instructional content, and no correlation was found between teachers' digital competency and the quality of their created videos. These findings highlight the importance of integrating technology, pedagogy, and math components to develop high-quality instructional videos. Teacher training programs should incorporate technology-focused elements to enhance math

instruction's pedagogical process, as Moreno et al. (2020) suggested.

The flipped classroom method faces challenges with students being unfamiliar and requiring significant instructor effort, as noted by Lo and Hew (2017). Additional challenges mentioned by Akçayır and Akçayır (2018) included students not being ready, difficulty asking questions, trouble understanding videos, and limitations in technology and time constraints. Similarly, Lai and Hwang (2016) agree that some students struggle with time management. Luo et al. (2019) emphasized managing the transition, providing feedback, and implementing differentiated instruction to maximize the benefits of the FCM beyond video-based learning and foster a purposeful learning culture.

The implementation of FCM faces limitations, including the need for further research to compare its effectiveness with other active learning approaches (Jensen et al., 2015). Technology access and connectivity challenges in remote areas can hinder its effectiveness. Furthermore, the successful adoption of FCM relies on students' self-regulated learning skills and engagement, which can impact time management and collaborative abilities. Teachers must be competent in utilizing educational digital content and technology, which may increase their workload and require additional training. Acknowledging and addressing these limitations in future research is essential to implement FCM and overcome these challenges effectively.

Social Media

Integrating social media platforms such as blogs, wikis, sharing tools, networking platforms like Facebook, and virtual worlds in educational settings has proven beneficial. Research suggests incorporating social media enhances student engagement, fosters peer connections, and improves learning outcomes (Alalwan, 2022; Harbour et al., 2015). Incorporating social media into classroom activities has positively impacted student interactions and connections. According to Tomai and colleagues (2010), integrating social media fosters peer bonding and the formation of virtual communities. Additionally, social media platforms provide a neutral space for students from diverse backgrounds to interact, bridging gaps in classroom diversity (Junco, 2012; Wodzicki et al., 2012). Active participation in social media among students fosters emotional connections with peers, creating a support system for problem-solving and promoting engagement, especially among initially hesitant students (Tomai et al., 2010). Using social media in education enhances student connectivity and engagement, facilitating collaborative learning.

Social media in academic coursework can improve learning outcomes by promoting peer feedback, encouraging reflections, and deepening understanding of the material. It also enhances the learning experience

by connecting course content and peer interactions (Arnold & Paulus, 2010; Junco, 2012). Hurt et al. (2012) found that centralized platforms in education promote in-depth student discussions and connections beyond assigned topics. Similarly, Lin et al. (2016) discovered that social media fosters information sharing, co-creation, diverse content access, and virtual community engagement, expanding learning opportunities beyond the traditional classroom.

Research conducted by Ariani et al. (2017) focused on developing a digital classroom learning model using the Edmodo social learning network for elementary school mathematics. Their study found that the Edmodo prototype effectively engages students in collaborative learning, facilitates group work, monitors student interaction, and provides a secure and academically-focused environment, leading to a high percentage of students passing the assessment (Ariani et al., 2017). Similarly, Li et al. (2022) conducted a study on predicting students' Algebra learning status online, showing promising results and providing valuable feedback to instructors and students on a large scale to support and enhance the learning process. This aligns with Noel's (2015) research, which emphasized the effectiveness of blogs in creating constructivist learning environments and promoting learner engagement, motivation, and information retention.

Additionally, Achmad and Suprapti (2020) examined the impact of social media on mathematics learning, specifically the use of audio-visual platforms like YouTube and Instagram. They found that students increasingly turn to these platforms for mathematics resources, enhancing accessibility and facilitating collaboration. However, they also acknowledged challenges such as students relying on online groups and accounts for solutions. The study emphasized the role of teachers in cultivating critical thinking, creativity, and practical communication skills. Nonetheless, social media poses certain challenges. Assumptions regarding students' familiarity and willingness to use specific social media platforms can result in a lack of necessary resources and support to utilize them effectively (Viberg et al., 2023).

Moreover, students may incorporate social media into their lives in ways that differ from the intended educational purposes, engaging in off-topic or non-academic discussions due to the platforms' social nature (Arnold & Paulus, 2010). Furthermore, social media usage has been linked to negative impacts on student grades and increased preparation time, potentially due to the distractions it presents (Hurt et al., 2012). Further research is necessary to study these challenges and provide mitigated solutions.

Augmented and Virtual Reality

Virtual reality (VR) and augmented reality (AR) are immersive technologies that significantly impact math

education. VR allows users to access a computer-generated world through devices like helmets and gloves, providing interactive experiences and a sense of presence in simulated environments (Weiss et al., 2004). In contrast, AR projects virtual objects and information into the real-world environment. These technologies offer affordable and user-friendly resources that effectively teach complex mathematical concepts, particularly in subjects like geometry that rely heavily on visualization (Kaufmann et al., 2000).

Virtual reality offers a range of immersive experiences with sensory feedback and interactive elements, allowing users to engage with objects, characters, and environments (Bowman & McMahan, 2007). Various technologies, including display devices such as computers, tablets, and advanced systems like Samsung Gear VR and Google Cardboard, can be utilized for educational virtual reality experiences. VR in teaching improves learning outcomes, motivation, and satisfaction by enabling collaboration, overcoming constraints, and enhancing interactivity among learners, while also showing potential for training and simulation scenarios (Huang et al., 2010; Luo et al., 2021).

Bouta et al. (2012) developed an online 3D virtual learning environment, CoSy_World, for fifth-grade students, demonstrating its effectiveness in facilitating rich interactions and collaborative learning, particularly in the context of fractions. However, using 3D virtual environments as teaching tools requires considering other influencing factors. Similarly, Abutayeh et al. (2022) employed virtual reality (VR) in math education for simulations, accessing limited resources, and distance learning, resulting in constructivist learning environments that promoted collaboration, immersion, enjoyment, and personalized learning experiences. Integrating additional dimensions in VR, such as visualizing 4D and 5D geometry, proved beneficial for both students and teachers in enhancing understanding. Various studies have shown that augmented reality (AR) technology can positively impact mathematics learning outcomes and student motivation. In one study, Osamah and colleagues (2019) found that incorporating AR when learning about geometric shapes in seventh-grade mathematics notably improved visual thinking skills and performance compared to simulation-based learning. The research underscored AR's potential to develop thinking skills and highlighted the significance of teacher and student training. Similarly, Elsayed and Al-Najrani (2021) suggested integrating AR technology into mathematics instruction, especially in geometry, and providing teacher training. They examined how AR affected visual thinking and academic motivation among middle school students and found that the experimental group outperformed the control group in both areas.

Estapa and Nadolny (2015) agree that AR is recognized

as an interactive learning tool that enhances motivation and learning outcomes in mathematics. Their research, which compared traditional instructional methods with AR-based approaches, revealed improved math achievement in both groups, with the AR group displaying higher motivation levels, particularly in technical and conceptual mathematics. AR improves math teaching and enhances student learning outcomes. AR and VR technologies offer flexible learning environments that enhance cognitive structure, visual thinking, and motivation. They hold potential benefits, especially for children and individuals with cognitive disabilities. However, cost, usability, and immersion limitations exist, particularly in educational games (Freina & Ott, 2015). Challenges like limited interaction, using AR mainly for higher education, and high costs hinder widespread adoption in education (Luo et al., 2021). Further research is needed to optimize AR presentation, understand the benefits and challenges with younger students, and leverage technology for better mathematical understanding. Overcoming these obstacles is vital for the future of VR in education.

Math Digital Manipulatives

Digital math manipulatives, such as interactive tools and software applications, are increasingly used on tablets and computers as alternatives or supplements to physical manipulatives (Goodman et al., 2016). However, research on the effectiveness of adaptive software systems in real classroom settings with control groups is limited. Bush's (2021) study addressed this gap and successfully integrated a research-based fraction curriculum into an online adaptive practice environment, yielding positive results. Students using the software tool with digital manipulatives and real-world situations scored higher on fraction tests compared to those taught using traditional techniques. However, limitations include the absence of a control group and a limited time frame, necessitating further study to fully comprehend the impact of feedback-related design aspects.

In another study by Goldenberg and coworkers (2021), children engaged in genuine puzzles that required them to find solutions without pre-learned answers. The researchers developed microworlds that integrated critical second-grade mathematical content and programming concepts. The microworlds provided immediate feedback, promoted self-evaluation, and led to intense engagement, even among students who typically struggle to engage. The flexibility of microworlds allowed for various mathematical tasks without compromising learning time. However, more research is needed to determine the measurable positive effects on standard measures of mathematical growth. Similarly, Thomson's (2016) study on second-grade mathematics demonstrates that training with tangram manipulatives enhances students' spatial sense and

moderately impacts achievement. Emerging technologies provide engagement, motivation, collaboration, and differentiated learning advantages, emphasizing the importance of suitable mathematics materials and teacher support.

In contrast, Goodman and coworkers (2016) examined the impact of learning manipulatives on problem-solving and math performance, finding that digital manipulatives performed poorly on spatial tasks and math tests compared to physical versions. However, constrained interaction styles narrowed the performance gap, and video priming improved performance in the digital manipulative condition. These contrasting results underscore the importance of understanding the differences between digital and physical manipulatives in education and the need for further research on digitization's costs, benefits, and representational factors. While these studies offer insights into the benefits and limitations of digital math manipulatives, they also highlight the need for further research and address certain limitations. These findings collectively have implications in shaping effective math education practices and policies.

Discussion

The integration of technology in mathematics education has been widely recognized as a promising avenue for enhancing student engagement and improving learning outcomes. The evidence-based studies reviewed highlight the potential benefits of technology tools in creating more personalized and engaging learning experiences. However, these strategies are not without limitations, and there is a clear need for further research to refine and optimize the effectiveness of technology integration in this field.

One of the key areas for improvement lies in the development of adaptive learning systems and personalized software that cater to the individual needs of students. These tools have the potential to promote engagement by providing tailored learning experiences that align with each student's pace and level of understanding (Junco, 2012). However, to fully realize this potential, there is a need for more robust research into the specific features and functionalities that make such systems effective (Fredricks et al., 2016).

In addition to personalized learning, the use of online platforms, discussion boards, and virtual collaboration tools offers significant opportunities to enhance collaborative learning and communication among students. These tools can facilitate peer feedback, foster deeper connections between students, and create a more interactive and engaging learning environment (Alalwan, 2022; Harbour et al., 2015). However, their

effectiveness depends on addressing challenges such as ensuring equitable access to technology, providing adequate training for both teachers and students, and managing potential distractions that may arise in a digital environment (Leon et al., 2017).

Interactive simulations, virtual manipulatives, and multimedia resources represent another promising area for making mathematics more relatable and demonstrating its practical relevance. These tools can help bridge the gap between abstract mathematical concepts and real-world applications, thereby enhancing student understanding and interest (Geer et al., 2017). However, the successful implementation of these resources requires careful consideration of the pedagogical approaches that best support their use, as well as ongoing assessment to ensure they are meeting educational goals (Bray & Tangney, 2016).

Gamification is another strategy that has shown promise in enhancing engagement and enjoyment in mathematics education. Digital games, in particular, have been found to positively impact students' motivation and performance (Beserra et al., 2014). However, the effectiveness of gamification is highly dependent on the design of the games and the specific elements incorporated. Further research is needed to explore the impact of different game designs and mechanics on student engagement and academic achievement, as well as to identify best practices for integrating gamification into the mathematics curriculum (Ke, 2008; Seaborn & Fels, 2015).

The flipped classroom model has gained attention as an innovative approach to mathematics education, promoting active learning by shifting instructional content outside the classroom and using class time for interactive activities (Talbert, 2014). While this model offers several benefits, including increased access to learning materials and more effective use of class time, it also presents challenges. These include ensuring students have the necessary technology access, supporting the development of self-regulated learning skills, and providing educators with the training needed to effectively utilize digital content (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018; Jensen et al., 2018). Addressing these challenges is critical to the successful implementation of the flipped classroom model in mathematics education.

Social media platforms also hold potential for enhancing student engagement and fostering peer connections. When integrated into educational settings, these platforms can facilitate communication, peer feedback, and learning retention (Arnold & Paulus, 2010; Junco, 2012). However, the integration of social media must be approached with caution, as assumptions about students' familiarity with these platforms, the potential for

distractions, and the possible negative impact on grades are significant concerns that need to be addressed (Hurt et al., 2012; Viberg et al., 2023).

Augmented Reality (AR) and Virtual Reality (VR) technologies offer exciting possibilities for transforming mathematics education. These immersive technologies can enhance learning, performance, motivation, and satisfaction by providing interactive and engaging experiences (Kaufmann et al., 2000; Weiss et al., 2004). However, the use of VR is currently more prevalent in higher education, and there is a need for expanded adoption across all educational levels. Additionally, developing robust assessment strategies to evaluate the effectiveness of AR and VR in mathematics education is crucial for fully leveraging their benefits (Luo et al., 2021; Freina & Ott, 2015).

Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the critical need for The integration of technology in mathematics education holds significant promise for enhancing student engagement and improving learning outcomes. However, the successful implementation of these strategies requires careful consideration of the challenges and limitations identified in the literature. To fully realize the potential of technology in this field, future research should focus on bridging the gap between theory and practice, expanding the use of technology across various math content areas, and assessing its impact on student achievement

Addressing challenges related to technology access, teacher training, infrastructure, and the learning curve is essential for creating engaging and inclusive learning environments (Leon et al., 2017; McKnight et al., 2016). Strong leadership, collaboration, alignment with the curriculum, and continuous evaluation are necessary to overcome these challenges and create engaging and inclusive learning environments. Ultimately, a student-centered approach that promotes active learning and critical thinking is vital for empowering students and enhancing mathematics education through technology integration.

Citation: Ayyad.A (2024). Integrating Technology to Enhance Student Engagement in Mathematics: Literature Overview. International Journal of Art, Social, and Managerial Sciences (IJASMS), 1(1):1-9. 10.5281/zenodo.13364405

References

Abutayeh, K. A., Kraishan, O. M., & Kraishan, E. Q. (2022). The use of virtual and augmented reality in science and math education in Arab countries: A survey of previous research studies. *Frontiers in education* (Lausanne), 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/educ.2022.979291>

Achmad, H., & Suprapti, E. (2020). The affect of the internet and social media: Mathematics learning environment context. *IOP Conference Series. Earth and Environmental Science*, 469(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/469/1/012080>

Adulyasas, L. (2018). Fostering pre-service mathematics teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) through the learning community. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1097(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1097/1/012094>

Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. *Computers & Education*, 126, 334-345. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.07.021>

Alalwan, N. (2022). Actual use of social media for engagement to enhance students' learning. *Education and Information Technologies*, 27(7), 9767-9789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11014-7>

Almaki, S. H., Gunda, M. A., Idris, K., Hashim, A. T. M., & Ali, S. R. (2023). A systematic review of the use of simulation games in K-12 education. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-25. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2023.2205894>

Ariani, Y., Helsa, Y., Ahmad, S., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2017). Edmodo social learning network for elementary school mathematics learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 943(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/943/1/012056>

Arnold, N., & Paulus, T. (2010). Using a social networking site for experiential learning: Appropriating, lurking, modeling and community building. *The Internet and higher education*, 13(4), 188-196. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2010.04.002>

Bai, H., Pan, W., Hirumi, A., & Kebritchi, M. (2012). Assessing the effectiveness of a 3-D instructional game on improving mathematics achievement and motivation of middle school students: 3-D math game. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(6), 993-1003. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2011.01269.x>

Beserra, V., Nussbaum, M., Zeni, R., Rodriguez, W., & Wurman, G. (2014). Practising arithmetic using educational video games with an interpersonal computer. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society*, 17(3), 343-358. <http://ez.library.latrobe.edu.au/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholar>

Blundell, C., Lee, K.-T., & Nykvist, S. (2020). Moving beyond enhancing pedagogies with digital technologies: Frames of reference, habits of mind and transformative learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 52(2), 178-196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2020.1726235>

Bouta, H., Retalis, S., & Paraskeva, F. (2012). Utilising a collaborative macro-script to enhance student engagement: A mixed method study in a 3D virtual environment. *Computers & Education*, 58(1), 501-517. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.031>

Bowman, D. A., & McMahan, R. P. (2007). Virtual Reality: How Much Immersion Is Enough? *Computer*, 40(7), 36-43. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2007.257>

Bray, A., & Tangney, B. (2016). Enhancing student engagement through the affordances of mobile technology: a 21st century

- learning perspective on Realistic Mathematics Education. *Mathematics education research journal*, 28(1), 173-197. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-015-0158-7>
- Bush, J. B. (2021). Software-based intervention with digital manipulatives to support student conceptual understandings of fractions. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 52(6), 2299-2318. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13139>
- Callaghan, N. (2021). Understanding the role of technological platforms in schools. *Educational media international*, 58(4), 355-373. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2021.1992864>
- Dichev, C., & Dicheva, D. (2017). Gamifying education: what is known, what is believed and what remains uncertain: a critical review. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 14(1), 1-36. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-017-0042-5>
- Dominguez, A., Saenz-de-Navarrete, J., de-Marcos, L., Fernandez-Sanz, L., Pages, C., & Martinez-Herraiz, J.-J. (2013). Gamifying learning experiences: Practical implications and outcomes. *Computers and education*, 63, 380-392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2012.12.020>
- Dotterer, A. M., & Lowe, K. (2011). Classroom context, school engagement, and academic achievement in early adolescence. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 40(12), 1649-1660. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-011-9647-5>
- Elsayed, S. A., & Al-Najrani, H. I. (2021). Effectiveness of the Augmented Reality on Improving the Visual Thinking in Mathematics and Academic Motivation for Middle School Students. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 17(8), em1991. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/11069>
- Estapa, A., & Nadolny, L. (2015). The effect of an augmented reality enhanced mathematics lesson on student achievement and motivation. *Journal of STEM Education : Innovations and Research*, 16(3), 40-48. <http://ez.library.latrobe.edu.au/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/effect-augmented-reality-enhanced-mathematics>
- Fredricks, J. A., Filsecker, M., & Lawson, M. A. (2016). Student engagement, context, and adjustment: Addressing definitional, measurement, and methodological issues. *Learning and Instruction*, 43, 1-4. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2016.02.002>
- Freina, L., & Ott, M. (2015). A literature review on immersive virtual reality in education: state of the art and perspectives. In (Vol. 1, pp. 133-141). Bucharest: "Carol I" National Defence University.
- Geer, R., White, B., Zeegers, Y., Au, W., & Barnes, A. (2017). Emerging pedagogies for the use of iPads in schools. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(2), 490-498. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12381>
- Goldenberg, E. P., Carter, C. J., Mark, J., Reed, K., Spencer, D., & Coleman, K. (2021). Programming as language and manipulative for second-grade mathematics. *Digital experiences in mathematics education*, 7(1), 48-65. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40751-020-00083-3>
- Goodman, S. G., Seymour, T. L., & Anderson, B. R. (2016). Achieving the performance benefits of hands-on experience when using digital devices: A representational approach. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 59, 58-66. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.01.006>
- Harbour, K. E., Evanovich, L. L., Sweigart, C. A., & Hughes, L. E. (2015). A brief review of effective teaching practices that maximize student engagement. *Preventing school failure*, 59(1), 5-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1045988X.2014.919136>
- Heo, H., Bonk, C. J., & Doo, M. Y. (2021). Enhancing learning engagement during COVID-19 pandemic: Self-efficacy in time management, technology use, and online learning environments. *Journal of computer assisted learning*, 37(6), 1640-1652. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12603>
- Huang, H.-M., Rauch, U., & Liaw, S.-S. (2010). Investigating learners' attitudes toward virtual reality learning environments: Based on a constructivist approach. *Computers and education*, 55(3), 1171-1182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.05.014>
- Hurt, N. E., Moss, G., Bradley, C., Larson, L., Lovelace, M., Prevost, L., Riley, N., Domizi, D., & Camus, M. (2012). The 'Facebook' Effect: College Students' Perceptions of Online Discussions in the Age of Social Networking. *International journal for the scholarship of teaching and learning*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.20429/ijstol.2012.060210>
- Jensen, J. L., Holt, E. A., Sowards, J. B., Ogden, T. H., & West, R. E. (2018). Investigating strategies for pre-Class content learning in a flipped classroom. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 27(6), 523-535. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-018-9740-6>
- Jensen, J. L., Kummer, T. A., & Godoy, P. D. d. M. (2015). Improvements from a flipped classroom may simply be the fruits of active learning. *CBE life sciences education*, 14(1), ar5-ar5. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.14-08-0129>
- Junco, R. (2012). The relationship between frequency of Facebook use, participation in Facebook activities, and student engagement. *Computers & Education*, 58(1), 162-171. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.08.004>
- Kaufmann, H., Schmalstieg, D., & Wagner, M. (2000). Construct3D: A Virtual Reality Application for Mathematics and Geometry Education. *Education and Information Technologies*, 5(4), 263-276. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012049406877>
- Ke, F. (2008). A case study of computer gaming for math: Engaged learning from gameplay? *Computers and education*, 51(4), 1609-1620. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2008.03.003>
- Ke, F., & Grabowski, B. (2007). Gameplaying for maths learning: cooperative or not? *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 38(2), 249-259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8535.2006.00593.x>
- Kolovou, A., van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M., & Köller, O. (2013). An intervention including an online game to improve grade 6 students' performance in early algebra. *Journal for research in mathematics education*, 44(3), 510-549. <https://doi.org/10.5951/jresmetheduc.44.3.0510>
- Lai, C.-L., & Hwang, G.-J. (2016). A self-regulated flipped classroom approach to improving students' learning performance in a mathematics course. *Computers and education*, 100, 126-140. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2016.05.006>
- Leon, J., Medina-Garrido, E., & Núñez, J. L. (2017). Teaching quality in mathclass: The development of a scale and the analysis of its relationship with Engagement and Achievement. *Frontiers in psychology*, 8, 895-895. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00895>

- Li, C., Xing, W., & Leite, W. (2022). Using fair AI to predict students' math learning outcomes in an online platform. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2115076>
- Lin, X., Spence, P. R., Sellnow, T. L., & Lachlan, K. A. (2016). Crisis communication, learning and responding: Best practices in social media. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 65, 601-605. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.05.080>
- Lo, C. K., & Hew, K. F. (2017). A critical review of flipped classroom challenges in K-12 education: possible solutions and recommendations for future research. *Research and practice in technology enhanced learning*, 12(1), 4-22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-016-0044-2>
- Lowrie, T. (2005). Problem solving in technology rich contexts: Mathematics sense making in out-of-school environments. *The Journal of mathematical behavior*, 24(3), 275-286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2005.09.008>
- Luo, H., Li, G., Feng, Q., Yang, Y., & Zuo, M. (2021). Virtual reality in K-12 and higher education: A systematic review of the literature from 2000 to 2019 [<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12538>]. *Journal of computer assisted learning*, 37(3), 887-901. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12538>
- Mapp, S. (2022). 'Don't flip out!': Flipping the research methods classroom to improve student performance. *Social Work Education*, 41(1), 21-33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02615479.2020.1793936>
- McKnight, K., O'Malley, K., Ruzic, R., Horsley, M. K., Franey, J. J., & Bassett, K. (2016). Teaching in a digital age: How educators use technology to improve student learning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 48(3), 194-211. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2016.1175856>
- Missildine, K., Fountain, R., Summers, L., & Gosselin, K. (2013). Flipping the classroom to improve student performance and satisfaction. *The Journal of nursing education*, 52(10), 597-599. <https://doi.org/10.3928/01484834-20130919-03>
- Moreno, D., Palacios, A., Barreras, Á., & Pascual, V. (2020). An assessment of the impact of teachers' digital competence on the quality of videos developed for the flipped math classroom. *Mathematics (Basel)*, 8(2), 148. <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8020148>
- Muir, T. (2014). Google, mathletics and Khan Academy: Students' self-initiated use of online mathematical resources. *Mathematics education research journal*, 26(4), 833-852. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-014-0128-5>
- Muir, T. (2017). The enactment of a flipped classroom approach in a senior secondary mathematics class and its impact on student engagement. 41st Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education,
- Muir, T. (2021). Self-determination theory and the flipped classroom : a case study of a senior secondary mathematics class. *Mathematics education research journal*, 33(3), 569-587. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-020-00320-3>
- Noel, L. (2015). Using blogs to create a constructivist learning environment. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 617-621. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.591>
- Osamah M, A., Ababneh, Z., Bawaneh, A., & Alzubi, W. (2019). Effect of Augmented Reality and Simulation on the Achievement of Mathematics and Visual Thinking Among Students. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (Online)*, 14(18), 164-185. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i18.10748>
- Plass, J. L., O'Keefe, P. A., Homer, B. D., Case, J., Hayward, E. O., Stein, M., & Perlin, K. (2013). The impact of individual, competitive, and collaborative mathematics game play on learning, performance, and motivation. *Journal of educational psychology*, 105(4), 1050-1066. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0032688>
- Rakes, C. R., Stites, M. L., Ronau, R. N., Bush, S. B., Fisher, M. H., Safi, F., Desai, S., Schmidt, A., Andreasen, J. B., Saderholm, J., Amick, L., Mohr-Schroeder, M. J., & Viera, J. (2022). Teaching mathematics with technology: TPACK and effective teaching practices. *Education Sciences*, 12(2), 133. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci12020133>
- Seaborn, K., & Fels, D. I. (2015). Gamification in theory and action: A survey. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 74, 14-31. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2014.09.006>
- Snead, S. L., Loch, B., & Keane, T. (2023). Teacher perspectives on adoption of student-made screencasts as a peer learning approach in secondary school mathematics. *International journal of mathematical education in science and technology, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print)*, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2023.2204106>
- Talbert, R. (2014). Inverting the linear algebra classroom. *PRIMUS*, 24(5), 361-374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10511970.2014.883457>
- Thompson, T. (2016). The effects of concrete, virtual, and multimodal tangram manipulatives on second grade elementary students' mathematics achievement and development of spatial sense: A convergent parallel mixed methods study ProQuest Dissertations Publishing].
- Thomson, S., De Bortoli, L., & Underwood, C. (2016). PISA 2015: A first look at Australia's results. Camberwell. Victoria: ACER Press.
- Tomai, M., Rosa, V., Mebane, M. E., D'Acunti, A., Benedetti, M., & Francescato, D. (2010). Virtual communities in schools as tools to promote social capital with high schools students. *Computers and education*, 54(1), 265-274. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2009.08.009>
- Viberg, O., Grönlund, Å., & Andersson, A. (2023). Integrating digital technology in mathematics education: a Swedish case study. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 31(1), 232-243. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1770801>
- Watson-Huggins, J., & Trotman, S. (2019). Gamification and motivation to learn math using technology. *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 20(4), 79-91,101. <http://ez.library.latrobe.edu.au/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/gamification-motivation-learn-math->
- Watt, H. M., & Goos, M. (2017). Theoretical foundations of engagement in mathematics. *Mathematics education research journal*, 29(2), 133-142. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s13394-017-0206-6>
- Weiss, P. L., Rand, D., Katz, N., & Kizony, R. (2004). Video capture virtual reality as a flexible and effective rehabilitation tool. *Journal of neuroengineering and rehabilitation*, 1(1), 12-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-0003-1-12>

Wodzicki, K., Schwämmlein, E., & Moskaliuk, J. (2012). "Actually, I wanted to learn": Study-related knowledge exchange on social networking sites. *The Internet and higher education*, 15(1), 9-14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2011.05.008>

Zhan, Z., Tong, Y., Lan, X., & Zhong, B. (2022). A systematic literature review of game-based learning in Artificial Intelligence education. *Interactive Learning Environments*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2022.2115077>